Name			School					
Subject/Grade I	Level		School Year					
Evaluator			Title					
			er Observation For					
conducted on the I		(Conference)	n is based, in part, on a formal discussion ce by October 15) ce by October 15) ce by October 15)	on of performances and conferences				
Observation Dates	Pre-Observation Date	Post Observation Date	Teacher's Signature	Evaluator's Signature				
Formal Evaluation	Date:							
		te:						
Check All That								
(Goals Summary Co	ompleted/Signed						
I	Professional Respo	nsibilities identifie	ed (Article 8)					
	Supervision/Evalua	tion Timeline Rev	viewed					
I	Formal Teacher Ob	servation Form R	eviewed (copy to teacher)					
	Γeacher Summary	Narrative Evaluati	on and Rating Forms Reviewed (copy to	teacher)				
1	st Formal Observa	tion Scheduled, or	TBD					
2	2 nd Formal Observa	ation Scheduled, or	r TBD					
(Other							
Novato Unified So experiences to me vision for "Achiev school. In January district staff, was maximizing stude guidance, consulta and literature on e	chool District (NUS) et individual stude ement for All," No of 2011, the NUS formed to redesign int learning. NUSE attion and research effective evaluation define NUSD's et	ent needs, and che USD must have ef D Certificated Eventhe District's eventhe District's eventhe District eventhe Even	we and effective educational system the allenges students to realize their educational system to allenges students in every classroom and aluation Committee, made up of teacher aluation system to advance effective so Learning Partners to facilitate the provinces to the Committee. After a compresion internal assessment of NUSD's current and leadership, rubrics aligned to those ct.	ational potential. In order to reach its deffective site administrators in every er leaders, site administrators and chool leadership and teaching while cess and provide expertise, thensive external scan of the research to system, the Committee developed				

By defining the standards from which NUSD's teachers will be evaluated, NUSD's Framework for Effective Teaching and Learning (see page 11 for more details) is the foundation of NUSD's pilot evaluation system for teachers. The Committee identified five overarching performance standards for effective teaching: (1) plan; (2) teach-environment; (3) teach-instruction; (4) learn; and (5) increase effectiveness. The Committee then developed a corresponding rubric that provides detailed descriptions of each of the six standards (see page 13 for a copy of the rubric). These descriptions are scaled across the following five categories:

Name	School
Subject/Grade Level	School Year
Evaluator	Title -

- **Distinguished:** Teacher consistently met and significantly exceeded performance standards. To be considered a distinguished teacher, the teacher must meet all of the descriptions under the proficient category and those in the distinguished category.
- **Proficient:** Teacher consistently met performance standards.
- **Developing:** While the teacher did not meet all of the performance standards and/or inconsistently met those standards, he/she demonstrated adequate growth toward meeting those standards during the period of performance.
- Ineffective: Teacher did not meet performance standards and did not demonstrate growth towards meeting those standards.
- Not observed: Evaluator did not observe this performance standard during the course of the observation

The written material in this document was informed by the following researchers, organizations and school districts: District of Columbia Public Schools, Charlotte Danielson (*Framework for Teaching*), Montgomery County (MD), Los Angeles Unified School District, the CA Commission on Teacher Credentialing, the California Department of Education (*CA Standards for the Teaching Profession and CA Professional Standards for Educational Leaders*), WestEd (*Descriptions of Practice of the CPSELs*), Denver Public Schools, Cincinnati Public Schools, Vanderbilt Assessment of Leadership in Education, New Leaders for New School (*Principal Leadership Actions Rubric*), and the North Carolina State Board of Education (*Rubric for Evaluating North Carolina School Executives*).

Name	School						
Subject/Grade Level	School Year						
Evaluator	Title						
Plan		Dim	Profe	Devo	Inefection	Not Ok.	Panielved
Practices							
Plan #1: Plans lessons which demonstrate knowledge of content and pedagogy.							
Plan #2: Plans instruction that incorporates a variety of explicit instructional st needs of the student subgroups.	rategies to address the						
Plan #3: Plans and develops lessons and assessments that are based on district-approved course outlines and California content and language standard	1						
Plan #4: Develops and sequences long- and short-term instructional plans to m of all students.	eet the learning needs						
	Overall Rating for Plan						

Name	School						
Subject/Grade Level	School Year						
Evaluator	Title						
Teach-Environment		Ditte	Profi.	Devot	Inefe	Not Ok.	Palace
Practices							
Teach-Environment #1: Creates a safe, organized and rigorous learning environment that promor productive teacher and student interactions, and a culture for learning.	tes respect,						
Teach-Environment #2: Maintains high learning expectations and appropriate levels of support	for all students.						
Teach-Environment #3: Develops and implements an effective behavior management plan that it for behavior and appropriate interventions when standards are not met).							
Teach-Environment #4: Develops and implements an effective plan for managing classroom productions.	eedures.						
Overall Rati	ng for Teach-Environment						

Name	School						
Subject/Grade Level	School Year						
Evaluator	Title						
Teach-Instruction		Dittip	Profice	Devela	Ineffe	Not Observa-	D
Practices							
Teach-Instruction #1: Implements well-organized, objective-driven, standards-based lessons.							
Teach-Instruction #2: Maximized instructional time, while appropriately pacing instruction.							
Teach-Instruction #3: Demonstrates knowledge of subject matter, academic standards, and co	urriculum frameworks.						
Teach-Instruction #4: Explains content clearly and connects it to meaningful, real-life contents student's prior knowledge, culture and heritage, life experiences and in							
Teach-Instruction #5: Promotes critical thinking and higher level understanding of content material questioning, higher order problem solving and reflection.	natter through effective						
Teach-Instruction #6: Engages and motivates all students in rigorous work by addressing incutilizing a variety of instructional strategies and resources.	lividual differences						
Teach-Instruction #7: Checks for individual understanding through assessments and other me and modifies instruction accordingly, providing intervention as needed							
Overall	Rating for Teach-Instruction						
Comments:							

Name	School					
Subject/Grade Level						
Evaluator	Title					
Learn		Divilipa	Profice	Devel	Ineffect	Not Ob.
Practices						
Learn #1: Students and subgroups demonstrate growth and mastery based or evaluation and assessment of certificated employees shall not include norms established by standardized tests.						
Learn #2: Students engage in self-assessment, goal setting and progress more	nitoring.					
Learn #3: Assesses, analyzes, and communicates student learning and progre using available technologies.	ss on achievement goals					
Learn #4: Recognizes and reinforces student progress and achievement.						
	Overall Rating for Learn					

Name_		School						
Subject	t/Grade Level	School Year	chool Year					
Evalua	tor	Title		//	/	/_/_		
	Increase Effectiv	veness	Distingui	Proficient	Develori	Ineffective		
	Practices							
		alyze, discuss and share strategies that will promote student ria classroom observation and assessments.						
Increase Effectiveness #1: Collaborates with colleagues to analyze, discuss and shat learning based on data collected via classroom observation Increase Effectiveness #2: Establishes personal and professional goals and engages professional growth and development. Increase Effectiveness #3: Reflects on and refines teaching practice to support grow Increase Effectiveness #4: Engages parents, other volunteers and paraprofessionals Increase Effectiveness #5 Models personal and professional ethics, intergrity, social increase Effectiveness #5 Comments:		rectice to support growth in student learning						
	Increase Effectiveness #5							
	Models personal and professional et	thics, intergrity, social justice and fairness. Overall Rating for Increase Effectiveness						
	Recommended actions for continuous	s improvement:						
	Teacher Signature	Date			_			
	Administrator Signature	Date						